



FEED THE FUTURE

The U.S. Government's Global Hunger & Food Security Initiative

This presentation is part of the

Agriculture and Nutrition Global Learning and Evidence Exchange (AgN-GLEE)

held in Guatemala City, Guatemala from March 5-7, 2013.

For additional presentations and related event materials, visit: <http://spring-nutrition.org/agnglee-lac>



USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE


SPRING
Strengthening Partnerships, Results
and Innovations in Nutrition Globally



USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE


GUATEMALA

Western Highlands Integrated Program (WHIP) Evaluation

Ashley Frost
Health and Education Office
USAID/Guatemala



33 Target Municipalities of WHIP





USAID | GUATEMALA

FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE




**Health, Nutrition &
Family Planning**

(1.4m people)



USAID | GUATEMALA

FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE




Health (1.4m people)



**RVCP + Health
(150,000 people)**



Farmers Association



RVC Households




Indirect RVC Households



USAID | GUATEMALA

FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE



Health (1.4m people)



**RVCP + Health
(150,000 people)**



**FFP + Health*
(300,000 people)**

*** In 18 of 21 FFP Municipalities**



USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

GUATEMALA

FTF INDICATORS

- **Underweight and stunting among children under 5**
- **Households with moderate/severe hunger**
- **Maternal and child diet**
- **Maternal and child anemia**
- **Exclusive breastfeeding**
- **Women's Empowerment in Agriculture Index (WEAI)**




GHI INDICATORS

- **Contraceptive prevalence**
- **Skilled birth attendance and antenatal care**
- **Percent of first births under age 18**
- **Appropriate care for pneumonia and diarrhea**
- **Childhood vaccination**



PURPOSE AND TIMELINE

1. Monitor key population-level indicators over time
2. Identify what outcomes can be attributed to the WHIP interventions





QUESTIONNAIRES

- **Household**
- **Community**
- **Health Service Delivery Points**



USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

GUATEMALA

EVALUATION HYPOTHESES

- 1. Integration is more effective than a single program**
 - Impact of health and nutrition**
 - Impact of RVC plus health and nutrition**
 - Differences between groups**

- 2. RVC has impact beyond direct participants**
 - Impact of RVC on direct participants**
 - Impact of RVC on indirect participants**
 - Differences between groups**



FURTHER ANALYSIS

- **Household decision making**
- **Women's empowerment and other health and nutrition outcomes**
- **Community context and household practices**
- **Positive deviance**