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INTRODUCTION

“National governments must prioritize nutrition and demonstrate their commitment with policies, human and financial resources, and legal frameworks.”

—USAID Nutrition Strategy

The Pathways to Better Nutrition Case Study

Using national and district level research, the Pathways to Better Nutrition (PBN) Case Study is a mixed method prospective study that is documenting the rollout of the national Uganda Nutrition Action Plan (UNAP) from 2011 to 2016 in line with Scaling-Up Nutrition (SUN). The study’s main objective is to develop evidence on how nutrition prioritization happens and whether it affected nutrition funding over the course of two years.

...the Office of the Prime Minister is seriously pushing the local governments for [nutrition], I think the commitment is there but it needs strengthening...

—a CSO stakeholder

METHODS

In Kisoro district, we used qualitative data to inform budget interview questions and budget data to complement the qualitative sources and reasons for nutrition prioritization.

For the district qualitative research we—

• selected key informants from the six key stakeholder groups for a multi-sectoral approach to improving undernutrition (donors, academia, civil society, government, private sector, UN groups);

• conducted interviews at base and mid-line for nutrition-relevant budget lines (nutrition relevance was determined based on the UNAP implementation plan);

• interviewed district technical and planning staff to validate findings from the review.

RESULTS/FINDINGS

Kisoro district has demonstrated its commitment to improve nutrition by establishing coordinating structures at the district and subcounty levels, though their functionality needs strengthening.

• Although the Office of the Prime Minister (OPM) oriented the districts on UNAP objectives, roles, and structure, further training is needed in planning, budgeting, implementation, and monitoring of nutrition activities.

• Absence of a system to track and share the progress of nutrition efforts with national level ministries, partners, and the UNAP secretariat makes it difficult for the district to prioritize nutrition activities.

We have the grants and local revenue but it’s not much money. Grants are [usually conditional funds]. As a department, we are not allocated money on nutrition... [having] a clear cut budget would help so much to streamline...

—a government stakeholder

In the 2014–2015 fiscal year, only 6 percent of the funding within nutrition-related sectors was directed to nutrition-relevant activities (1,542,184 billion UGX); of that amount, the majority of funds were conditional central government transfers. This severely limits the district’s ability to implement new nutrition activities.

Contrary to the belief that nutrition allocations focus primarily on health, only 14 percent of the health budget was targeted to nutrition.

The highest nutrition-sensitive allocation (29 percent) was targeted to production (agriculture sector), while only 2 percent was allocated for the education sector.

However, across all sectors, nutrition activities were not planned and budgeted for in any coordinated way.

CONCLUSION

Taking a multi-sectoral approach to the reduction of undernutrition in Uganda, the government has established district (lower-level) platforms that will ensure effectiveness in implementing the UNAP. However, Uganda needs to take additional actions as outlined in the Implications section below.

IMPLICATIONS FOR THE COUNTRY AND PARTNERS

• Government and partners should provide technical support to district nutrition-coordination platforms to facilitate better planning, budgeting, implementation, and monitoring of the multi-sectoral nutrition strategies.

• Government stakeholders should advocate for a budget line for nutrition; lower governments need to integrate nutrition into their district work plans for effective implementation of national nutrition strategies/policies.

• Government should establish a monitoring and evaluation framework, with clear indicators; measuring lower-level implementation will help assess impact and gain buy-in and financing for a multi-sectoral nutrition approach.

• Government should identify how and why stakeholders are implementing multi-sectoral nutrition strategies; this will help Uganda push nutrition programs and financing to the top of the agenda.
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