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Executive Summary

The Strengthening Partnerships, Results, and Innovations in Nutrition Globally (SPRING) project launched a two-year program in Senegal in November 2015 focused on creating, testing, and learning from local partnership approaches to improve the nutritional status of pregnant and lactating women and children under two years of age. SPRING/Senegal employed a multi-sectoral approach to tackling malnutrition, conducting activities across agriculture, health, hygiene, and gender. The project worked closely with established organizations, platforms, and networks in our target regions of Kaolack, Kaffrine, and Fatick. We fostered partnerships and opportunities for collaboration with U. S. Agency for International Development (USAID)-funded programs and other organizations working across sectors to increase demand for and access to improved nutrition inputs and services, by promoting both nutrition-specific practices and nutrition-sensitive agriculture.

SPRING used a variety of capacity building and social and behavior change communication (SBCC) approaches to raise nutrition awareness among partner organizations and their clients. To accelerate adoption of essential nutrition and hygiene actions and promote good nutrition-sensitive agriculture practices, we developed a set of practices and behaviors aligned with partner programs and tailored to local conditions and needs. In addition to directly building program partners’ capacity, we also introduced a range of SBCC activities to reinforce work with collaborators and reach a broad audience across the target regions.

We worked with six community-based radio stations to create 15 radio spots that were broadcast over 14,000 times. We developed 30 interactive radio programs to encourage good nutrition-related practices and stimulate demand for nutrition services. SPRING reached over 900,000 people with nutrition messages through radio. We also trained local radio station staff to produce 10 local community videos and formed 3 local video production teams capable of producing community videos over the long term. We drew the content of the videos, radio spots, and radio programs directly from findings of our formative research to promote more nutrition-sensitive agricultural practices, proper hygiene, and more gender-sensitive behaviors. We also trained producer network field agents and community volunteers to disseminate these locally produced videos across 100 villages and facilitate discussion sessions on the topics featured in the films.
To meet the demand created through the SBCC interventions, we helped local agricultural partners increase their capacity to pursue nutrition-focused agricultural practices. SPRING accomplished this by providing partners with a combination of workshops on nutrition-sensitive agriculture for members of community-based producer networks. In addition, SPRING utilized demonstration plots to promote the cultivation, harvest, storage, consumption, and marketing of nutrient-rich crops such as Obatampa maize, bio-fortified millet, orange-fleshed sweet potatoes, and diverse nutrient-rich vegetables. We also collaborated with partners to increase protein intake through improved village poultry practices to increase consumption of chickens and eggs. In addition, we installed model micro-gardens, complete with tippy-tap handwashing stations, and trained 240 women and 25 field agents, who in turn trained and supported approximately 2,700 women to plant and maintain their own micro-gardens across 90 villages. SPRING strengthened the coverage and capacity of agricultural suppliers by mobilizing community-based service providers to increase access to inputs (such as seeds, saplings, fertilizers, and protective gear).

SPRING’s work in the health sector centered on a collaboration with the Senegalese government’s Cellule de Lutte contre la Malnutrition (CLM). The CLM is attached to the Prime Minister’s Office and is charged with articulating and coordinating a multi-sectoral strategy to reduce malnutrition in Senegal. Through this relationship with the CLM, SPRING worked with some of CLM’s implementing agencies, including Association Sénégalaise pour le Bien-Être Familial (ASBEF), ChildFund, and Plan Senegal. Evidence indicates that increasing women’s decision-making capacity, coupled with an increase in income and free time, positively influences family nutrition. As such, SPRING promoted gender-sensitive best practices throughout its project activities. SPRING identified households where husbands demonstrated greater involvement in household chores and included their wives in decision making to serve as role models, or “champion couples” within their communities. These champions were recognized and celebrated in their community for their progressive initiative, which served as a model for others to consider adopting these behaviors. Further, to reduce the amount of time women spent husking and grinding cereals manually, the project donated cereal processing machinery to agricultural partners, which not only reduced women’s workload but also provided them with the ability to earn income.

The project focused on improving hygiene in communities by promoting handwashing and the use of simple, water-saving handwashing stations called tippy-taps. These tippy-taps increased handwashing at significant points around the compound, including near latrines and kitchens and at the entrances to household gardens, chicken coops, or sheep pens. SPRING also facilitated the creation of hygiene monitoring units, which were groups of influential community members who monitor the state of hygiene within their communities and promote the uptake of good hygiene practices.

Our successful collaboration with value chain actors, the CLM, and women’s horticulture groups, among others, showed that they are willing to invest their own resources and engage as promoters of nutrition-sensitive agriculture in their communities. These partnerships were crucial to the successful implementation of the project. This report examines the ways SPRING collaborated with partners from different sectors and the lessons and recommendations drawn from these experiences. The infographic on the next page illustrates some of the key activities we conducted with our partners (see Figure 1).
Figure 1. SPRING/Senegal Life of Project Infographic

In Senegal, SPRING is improving nutrition among pregnant and lactating women and children under age 5, with a coordinated approach targeting community health and nutrition goals. Through a multi-sectoral network of partners in the Kaolack, Fatick, and Kaffrine regions, SPRING seeks to:

**Health Center**
- Improve maternal and child health services
- Increase access to health care
- Promote family planning

**Nutrition Partners**
- Strengthen community-based nutrition programs
- Improve access to nutritious foods

**Health and Nutrition Partners**
- Provide immunization services
- Enhance nutrition education

**Agriculture Partners**
- Promote sustainable agriculture practices
- Increase crop diversity

**Communications Partners**
- Disseminate health and nutrition information
- Mobilize communities

By integrating nutrition services with health and agricultural interventions, SPRING aims to improve the nutritional status of targeted populations in Senegal.
SPRING in Senegal

Background

Despite the high levels of political and social stability that Senegal has enjoyed since independence, 46.7 percent of Senegalese people live below the poverty line, and Senegal’s rate of food insecurity stands at 17 percent. While the country has seen a drop in the prevalence of underweight, wasting, and stunting in recent years, these indicators still stood at 15.5 percent, 7.8 percent, and 20.5 percent respectively in 2015 (World Bank 2017). Poor nutrition and health practices play an important role in the etiology of stunting. Only 38 percent of infants are exclusively breastfed for 6 months; in rural areas, only 12 percent of children ages 6-23 months meet minimum dietary diversity, and only 7 percent receive a minimum acceptable diet (ANSD 2013). Lack of access to affordable, nutrient-dense foods is also a critical barrier to good nutrition.

Suboptimal health practices among women exacerbate undernutrition. Only 39 percent of rural women receive the recommended four antenatal visits, while only 20 percent are given deworming treatment. Fewer than half consume the recommended number of iron-folic acid (IFA) supplements to improve birth outcomes (ANSD 2013). Nationally, anemia affects 71 percent of children under 5 (CU5), 54 percent of women of reproductive age (WRA), and 61 percent of pregnant women (USAID 2016). Half of these anemia cases are likely attributable to iron deficiency.

Social norms and customs also dictate how children are fed. For example, colostrum—the first milk—which is rich in nutrients and immune system boosters, may not be fed to the newborn out of fear that it causes jaundice. It is also common practice to give infants water and traditional pre-lacteal foods, which reduces consumption of breastfeeding and introduces pathogens that can cause diarrhea (62 percent of children receive other liquids in addition to breast milk, mainly water) (ANSD 2013). As a result of these and other poor practices, when complimentary foods are typically introduced around 6 months of age, the rate of stunting doubles every 3 months until the age of 24 months (ANSD 2013).

Poor hygiene practices in Senegal also contribute to stunting. Approximately one-third of rural households collect their drinking water from unimproved sources, and 62 percent of rural households do not take steps to purify their drinking water. Handwashing data are hard to find, but an observational study by the World Bank indicated that only 31 percent of the population practice handwashing after defecation (Urban Water and Sanitation Project 2010). Such poor practices lead to the high prevalence of illness and environmental enteropathies that contribute to stunting.
Project Overview

At the request of USAID | Senegal, SPRING worked from November 2015 through October 2017 to support the mission’s goal of reducing stunting by 20 percent in children in the Feed the Future zone of influence (ZOI) and improving the nutritional status of women and children (the Country Development Cooperation Strategy’s Intermediate Result 4) (USAID 2017). According to USAID, given the wide-ranging determinants of nutritional status, programs that seek to sustainably improve nutrition outcomes must tackle not only nutrition-specific factors related to immediate causes of malnutrition, such as infant and young child feeding (IYCF) practices and micronutrient fortification, but also nutrition-sensitive factors related to underlying causes of malnutrition—for example, water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) and improved agriculture techniques (USAID 2016). Per the Mission’s guidance, SPRING approached the short implementation period with a focus on learning, capturing and sharing lessons learned about working in partnership with local organizations and programs across sectors to strengthen nutrition capacity and outcomes.

SPRING’s specific goal was to improve nutrition among households in target areas by increasing coverage of a package of nutrition-sensitive and nutrition-specific interventions. The project had two objectives to meet this goal:

1. Increase awareness of, and demand for, good nutrition-related practices and services
2. Facilitate access to key inputs and services essential for good nutrition

To achieve rapid results and build locally-owned sustainable solutions, SPRING worked closely with existing organizations, platforms, and networks in the target regions, and through them built capacity and momentum for improved nutrition in Senegal. SPRING sought partnerships and opportunities for collaboration with USAID-funded programs and others, in multiple sectors, to promote nutrition-specific practices and nutrition-sensitive agriculture practices.

Interventions and Coverage

SPRING prioritized three of Senegal’s fourteen regions for its interventions. The three regions, all within USAID Senegal’s Feed the Future ZOI, were Kaolack, Fatick, and Kaffrine (see Figure 2). These regions share several characteristics and conditions that made it logical for SPRING to work there: (1) similar agro-ecological conditions and growing seasons; (2) many of the same health and agriculture projects and collaborators;

Figure 2. Map of SPRING Target Regions in Senegal
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and (3) logistical feasibility and access. The nutritional status of CU5 in these regions is also precarious, with the following stunting rates: Kaolack (19.6 percent), Fatick (20.5 percent), and Kaffrine (26.8 percent). Table 1 below shows the population of each of the target communes within the three project regions (Feed the Future 2017).

Table 1. Target Interventions and Population Areas in Three SPRING/Senegal Regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># of ZOI Target Communes</th>
<th>Estimated ZOI Communes Population</th>
<th>Est # Households</th>
<th># of WRA</th>
<th># of PLW</th>
<th># of CU5</th>
<th>% of CU5 Stunted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatick</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>294,627</td>
<td>32,736</td>
<td>79,549</td>
<td>31,820</td>
<td>44,194</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaolack</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>575,103</td>
<td>63,900</td>
<td>155,277</td>
<td>62,111</td>
<td>86,265</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaffrine</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>424,421</td>
<td>47,157</td>
<td>114,593</td>
<td>45,837</td>
<td>63,663</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1,294,151</td>
<td>143,793</td>
<td>349,419</td>
<td>139,768</td>
<td>194,122</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To calculate the estimated number of households, we divided the estimated ZOI communes population by nine people per household, based on 2010 census data. The number of WRA was estimated at 27 percent of the total ZOI commune population (Index Mundi 2014), while number of PLW was estimated at 40 percent of the WRA. The number of CU5 was estimated at 15 percent of the total ZOI communes population, also based on census data. We retrieved data on stunting of CU5 from the Senegal Demographic and Health and Multiple Indicators Survey (ANSD 2012).

**Approach**

To reduce stunting and improve the nutritional status of women and children, SPRING implemented a dual strategy of scaling up proven nutrition-specific interventions, including essential nutrition actions (ENA) and essential hygiene actions (EHA), and promoting contextually appropriate nutrition-sensitive agriculture practices and access to related services and inputs. Together, the nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive activities implemented created synergies to contribute to a reduction in the rate of malnutrition in Fatick, Kaolack, and Kaffrine regions.

At the onset of the program, SPRING conducted formative research to identify barriers to proper nutrition, gender-sensitive best practices, and good hygiene in communities. We used the information collected from this research to develop contextualized programmatic recommendations, which in turn informed activities to prioritize with partners from each sector.
SPRING/Senegal’s design proposed two objectives toward reaching our overarching goal of improved nutrition among households in the SPRING intervention area:

**Objective 1: Increase awareness of, and demand for, good nutrition-related practices and services**

Objective 1 focused on implementing an integrated social and behavior change communication (SBCC) strategy to increase knowledge and uptake of desired practices using a range of communications and outreach mechanisms, including, but not limited to: 1) adaptation and use of education and/or extension approaches already being promoted by partners; 2) use of mass media; and 3) use of community video. SPRING worked to build the capacity of existing programs and collaborating partners to promote key nutrition-related behaviors identified as priorities through formative research conducted at the start of the program.

SPRING’s SBCC approach ensured that:

- the total number of improved nutrition-sensitive agriculture and nutrition-specific practices were realistic, and fit within collaborating partners’ activity plans and approaches;
- training, advocacy, media, and other communication materials were tailored to the project context, and the different audiences;
- target groups received consistent, clear messages and demonstrations that reinforced each other across project activities in an integrated and phased way;
- the project fostered an enabling environment for the improved practices to support desirable change.

**Objective 2: Facilitate access to key inputs and services essential for good nutrition**

SPRING’s activities under Objective 2 complemented the demand created in Objective 1. In line with the Conceptual Pathways between Nutrition and Agriculture framework (see Figure 3), the nutrition-sensitive agricultural practices promoted by SPRING aimed to diversify food production, increase agricultural

**Figure 3. SPRING’s Conceptual Pathways between Nutrition and Agriculture**
income, and increase women’s empowerment. We sought to build the capacity of existing service providers, networks, community-based organizations, and volunteers to promote the targeted practices appropriate to the area and audience. Because capacity building and training alone are not sufficient to ensure the ongoing use of many of the ENA, EHA, and nutrition-sensitive agriculture practices that were promoted, SPRING worked with collaborating partners to use a market-driven approach to increase availability of quality goods, inputs, and services. Activities were further enhanced through SBCC and existing information systems to sustainably embed nutrition-related messages where possible and to promote the value of adopting nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive practices. SPRING interventions were geared towards creating household demand and strengthening the knowledge and experience of collaborating partners, private sector networks, information, communication, and technology (ICT) partners, and community-based facilitators.

**Box 1: In-Country Partners**

**Government of Senegal**
- Office of the Prime Minister, Unit for Combating Malnutrition (CLM)
- Ministry of Agriculture
- Ministry of Health and Social Action

**USAID Projects**
- Yaajeende
- Naatal Mbay
- Strengthening Health Systems

**Producer Networks**
- FEPROMAS
- ADAK
- SYMBIOSE
- APROFES
- UGCPL
- YAKHANAL

**Non-governmental Organizations (NGOs)**
- Caritas
- ChildFund
- World Vision
- Plan International

**Radio Partners**
- Niombato FM
- Ndef Leng FM
- Kaffrine FM
- RIP FM
- Alfayda FM
- Kounghueul FM

**Building Sustainability through Local Partnerships**

Working with and through local partners was critical to SPRING’s approach in Senegal. Early in the project, SPRING established formal partnerships with local nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) and community-based organizations (CBOs) in the agriculture, health, and communications sectors (i.e., producer organizations and farmer cooperatives, women’s groups, and radio stations). These organizations were all well-established in SPRING’s intervention areas and served as implementing partners on the ground for SPRING activities. It was clear from the outset that the Mission’s interest was in SPRING partnering with existing institutions and programs to advance nutrition, not creating parallel structures. SPRING chose to partner with these organizations to encourage local ownership, facilitate the uptake of nutrition-related behaviors, disseminate information to large numbers of people, and improve the likelihood of sustainable results. Our guiding principle was “batir sur l’existant” (building upon what already exists), so SPRING leveraged partners’ existing expertise and the trust they had earned in their communities to successfully introduce nutrition-focused information to community members.
SPRING used a variety of capacity building and SBCC approaches to drive organizational change through structured coordination, sensitization, and training to raise the nutrition awareness of partner organizations and their members and clients. To accelerate the adoption of essential nutrition and hygiene actions and the promotion of good nutrition-sensitive agriculture practices, we worked with collaborating partners to identify and focus on a set of practices and behaviors that were relevant both to partners’ program approaches and to local conditions and needs. In addition to direct capacity building, we employed a range of SBCC mechanisms (e.g., mass media, community video, nutrition messages and display of products at local agriculture and health fairs, etc.) to reinforce work with collaborators and reach a broader audience across the ZOI.

Key to SPRING’s approach in Senegal was to avoid providing direct financial support to partner organizations. Instead, SPRING committed to providing partners with capacity building on nutrition, hygiene, and gender-sensitive best practices which they could incorporate in their work with community members. We subsidized part of the inputs needed to demonstrate nutrition-sensitive agriculture and facilitate access to inputs for individuals by linking demand and supply.

The following section examines SPRING’s major accomplishments through the lens of these categories of partnership.
Activities, Accomplishments, and Lessons

The following section describes the project’s main activities, achievements, and lessons learned, from our experiences as well as those of our partners. All project partners were interviewed at the close of the program to capture these lessons. Their candid feedback was recorded, and key excerpts are shared throughout this report.

Communication Partners

The popularity and evolution of community media in recent years has been heightened with the rapid expansion and reduced costs of information and communication technologies (ICTs). The application of ICTs has opened up new opportunities to exchange information and ideas and engage with the most remote and marginalized populations.

To increase awareness of, and demand for good nutrition-related practices and services, SPRING adopted a multi-faceted community media approach to convey key information through interesting and compelling stories. SPRING worked with local communication and media partners to create informative, engaging, and culturally appropriate content on nutrition-related practices, and disseminate this information to communities within our intervention areas. Working with these partners, SPRING developed and disseminated high quality locally contextualized content which took the form of radio spots and programs, community videos and information, and print materials (e.g., posters, handouts, etc.). By leveraging and maintaining the integrity of local experiences and narratives, while using innovative dissemination channels to reach large numbers of individuals, we sought to motivate and empower communities to improve their nutrition and health.

Radio

As in many parts of Africa, radio is a major source of information for communities in Senegal, and community radio is considered a powerful development tool through which to influence, educate, and mobilize a broad range of audiences. To capitalize on this platform, SPRING partnered with six local radio stations to produce and air fifteen 60-second spots on high-impact nutrition and hygiene practices. The radio stations—Niombato FM, Ndef Leng FM, Kaffrine FM, RIP FM, Alfayda FM, and Koungheul FM—broadcast from Nioro, Foundiougne, Koungheul, Kaffrine, Fatick, and Kaolack, with a reach that covered SPRING’s entire intervention area. Program messages focused on the key areas of nutrition-sensitive agriculture, hygiene, nutrition, and gender.

Some radio spots took the form of jingles, while others had voice-overs delivering succinct messages on topics ranging from the importance of handwashing to the importance of consuming a micro-nutrient...
rich diet. To maximize saturation, radio spots were broadcast seven days per week, up to 10 times per day, over nine months.

SPRING and radio partners also worked together to create 30 radio programs. These were produced using a talk show format and aired twice a week. Community voices were central to these programs. The invited guests who provided nutrition-related messages during the talk show were influential community members, local government unit health workers, and representatives from agricultural partner networks. The radio animator served as the talk show host and was coached by SPRING staff prior to each interview. The themes for the radio programs were selected to complement and reinforce other SPRING SBCC interventions to further reinforce the messages being shared at community mobilization events, in print, or via community video.

Some of the themes covered during radio programs included ‘the role of men in reducing women’s workload in the household’, and ‘vegetable gardening to improve nutrition and household income.’ While some radio programs were pre-recorded and aired at a later time, others were aired live and listeners were encouraged to call in to ask questions. These programs were extremely popular as they allowed for direct dialogue with community members in real time.

Extrapolating from population distribution data, radio station reach, and data on radio listenership in the region, SPRING reached approximately 918,310 people through these radio spots and programs.

Through community radio, SPRING also rapidly and effectively disseminated key nutrition messages to a large proportion of the population at a relatively low cost. These messages served to reinforce our SBCC work during community video and community mobilization events, saturating the intervention areas with the same target messages.

---

**Box 2: Radio Messages Resonate with Listeners**

To better understand the impact and reach of our approach, SPRING interviewed 95 randomly sampled men and women between ages 18 and 65 years who lived in villages reached by our radio partners (65 women and 30 men). Radio listeners reported learning about hygiene, the benefits of exclusive breastfeeding, the importance of complementary foods for children ages 6 to 24 months, and the link between gender and nutrition from our radio programs.

Of the interviewees, 78 percent could state the critical moments for handwashing, the benefits of a tippy tap handwashing device, and the importance of keeping the bathroom clean. Further, 71 percent reported learning the benefits of exclusive breastfeeding from the radio programs.

A mother from Koungheul department said: “My five-year old girl repeats this radio spot to me, ‘ayo nene’ (part of a jingle meaning “all day long”), and that’s what attracted my attention to this message. Now, I understand that everything a child needs in their first six months is contained in breast milk and that exclusive breastfeeding protects against diseases.”

“I really appreciated how SPRING’s team was constantly in the field, close to the community members. All the contextualized messages in the spots and programs were the fruit of things that SPRING’s staff had learned from their experience interacting with the communities in the field. The things that they learned informed the messages that were broadcast. The SPRING team didn’t just stay in their offices but went to the field and understood the target. This was great and not many projects we have worked like this”. —Radio Partner
In addition, through our partnership approach, which focused on building the capacity of radio and programming staff by strengthening their knowledge in nutrition, we fostered a shared commitment to imparting this knowledge for the benefit of their communities. As a result, even as SPRING came to a close, radio stations all pledged to continue airing the nutrition spots and programs, and some even expressed plans to continue to host talk shows on the key nutrition-related themes that SPRING promoted.

“We did not limit ourselves to what was found in the contract. For instance, if the contract said we are to play a spot 10 times a day, we would sometimes decide to play the spot even more times than that because we understand the importance of the message and our responsibility to our communities.”

—Radio Partner

What We Learned

Community engagement, capacity building, and contextualization: Ensuring relatability of messaging is critical for the adoption of new behaviors or practices. SPRING achieved success using an inclusive process with community members, radio staff, and SPRING staff all contributing ideas and providing feedback to improve the content of the radio spots and programs that were developed. Both radio staff and community members remarked that they enjoyed the spots and programs because of how well they were reflective of the specific needs and contexts of the local communities. Live broadcasts were particularly popular, and radio partners recommended that future projects seeking to share messages should consider focusing at least as much on live shows as pre-recorded messages.

“Listeners want to interact and ask their questions, and this is a good thing. The few times there were live broadcasts, there were very lively discussions. When people call in, people understand better. It is good to have live programs with an expert answering questions from callers during a live broadcast.”

—Radio Partner

The community-based listening groups SPRING established to monitor the broadcasts and verify that communities understood and adopted the messages revealed that stations consistently broadcast spots and programs more frequently than what was contractually required. Radio staff explained that they were committed to contributing to their communities, and having received training from SPRING on these nutrition-related topics, they were happy to take it upon themselves to capitalize on their platform to further spread these life-saving and well-being promoting messages. Taking the time to build the capacity of radio staff—viewing them as partners rather than contracted agents—fostered greater engagement and encouraged them to play a larger role than expected in affecting change within their communities.
Community Video

As part of our work to promote the uptake of positive nutrition behaviors, we introduced a community video approach in Senegal that had previously shown some success when tested by SPRING in India, Niger, Burkina Faso, and Guinea.

SPRING’s “Community Video for Nutrition” approach involves the community during the design, production, and dissemination stages to create contextualized videos that promote a variety of multi-sectoral nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive behaviors. Our formative research identified practices as well as emotional drivers relevant to target communities to ensure messages would resonate with community members. Video development then drew on these key drivers (i.e., status in the community, recognition by community members, self-fulfillment, and achievement) to incentivize change in both behavior and beliefs. The videos promoted optimal nutrition and hygiene practices while also addressing underlying gender considerations, such as portraying couples’ communication around use of household resources to achieve better nutrition for all family members.

SPRING facilitated the creation of community-based video production teams (or “hubs”) composed of members of partner organizations that showed interest and skills in developing video production. In partnership with Digital Green, we trained these video production hubs how to shoot and edit videos, with sessions on pre-production (creating storyboards and scripts); production (filming and working with actors); and post-production (editing and subtitling). These teams scripted videos based on findings from formative research conducted earlier in the project that helped identify barriers and facilitators to behavior change. They also completed concept testing, which involved working with groups of community members to solicit ideas for videos, choose preferred storylines, and tailor information based on the target community’s experience and reality.

To further ensure buy-in from local villages, a key aspect of SPRING’s approach was to identify respected members of the community to be featured in starring roles. These community members were known to practice the nutrition-related behaviors being promoted and were eager to model these behaviors. Engaging local villagers as the “star actors” in these films built trust and made the content more relatable and captivating, to support the uptake of promoted behaviors.

SPRING trained local women and men from community-based partner organizations working in agriculture, horticulture, animal husbandry, agro-processing, and health to disseminate the community videos during community gatherings and women’s group meetings. SPRING trained these mediators how to facilitate conversations about nutrition in their communities. They used handheld battery-operated
mini-(pico) projectors to show the videos during community events and women’s group meetings, and led group discussions on the topics highlighted in the videos to ensure the audience understood key messages and could share concerns, ask questions, and discuss how they could potentially adapt the suggested practices within their households. In addition, facilitators conducted home visits after video disseminations, helping to reinforce behavior change and providing an opportunity to collect program monitoring data on behavior adoption.

SPRING ultimately created 10 community videos that were disseminated throughout 104 villages. Video topics ranged from the importance of maintaining household hygiene, to the advantages of growing and eating orange-fleshed sweet potatoes (OFSP), to proper storage and preservation of cereal crops. To better understand how these community videos influenced knowledge and practices, SPRING carried out a survey with a sample of approximately 500 women with children between the ages of 6 months and three years at baseline and endline. Both surveys were conducted in 30 villages in the departments of Nioro du Rip and Ida Mouride. Twenty of the villages received the full package of SPRING interventions, including community video dissemination, while the remaining ten villages did not receive the community video intervention (but benefited from hearing SPRING messages through radio and print). Findings from the comparison group showed that the use of community video improved uptake of key behaviors, including consumption of a minimum acceptable diet (MAD) and handwashing (see Box 3).

To help ensure the sustainability of our community video approach, SPRING also trained nine members of the three video production teams on business planning, accounting, and grant writing so that their video production skills could be used as part of broader enterprise activities. These hub members later met with a Dakar-based TV station and communications agency that expressed an interest in the idea of them working as field correspondents. In addition, other USAID-financed projects, including GOLD, Lecture Pour Tous, and Cultivating Nutrition, have expressed an interest in working with our video production hubs.

Box 3: The Added Value of Community Videos

Through storytelling, people pass on important knowledge by evoking imagery and triggering emotions, sentiments, and physical responses that make the narrative resonate with an audience. To explore and evaluate the role and effectiveness of community video to share stories that are both interesting and compelling, while also conveying appropriate health and nutrition information, SPRING conducted a study to examine the uptake of key behaviors in villages where community videos were disseminated (compared to neighboring villages that had benefited from SPRING’s radio messaging only).

Study outcomes included dietary diversity of children ages 6-23 months and handwashing practices. Villages exposed to the videos showed a dramatic increase in minimum acceptable diet (MAD) in these children, from 36 percent to 64 percent. Handwashing results were more nuanced, with the percentage of women who knew at least one critical moment for handwashing declining in the comparison villages (80 percent to 69 percent) and remaining the same in intervention villages (83 percent and 81 percent).

As a whole, the survey results demonstrated the effectiveness of community video in improving or sustaining desired nutrition- and hygiene-related practices in Senegal. The full findings from this study can be found in The Value Add of Community Video: Evidence from a Comparative Study in Senegal forthcoming on the SPRING website at https://www.spring-nutrition.org/publications/reports/value-add-comm-video-senegal.
What We Learned

Our experiences have shown that community media is an exciting, feasible, and effective SBCC approach for nutrition programs. When implemented thoughtfully and based on formative research—ensuring that the intervention engages the community, is suited to the local context, focuses on local capacity building, and is designed with sustainability in mind—community media has the power to change social norms and behaviors. Using video as a communication channel showcased positive role modeling of recommended behaviors to help stimulate behavior change. This innovative storytelling model facilitated communication among peers at the village level, and addressed multi-sectoral factors (nutrition, health, hygiene, and gender) in a single presentation.

Community engagement, capacity building, and contextualization: Community participation and context-specific content are cornerstones of community media. These approaches allow communities to tell their stories and stimulate behavior change through their own perspectives, while ensuring that the information that is given is technically accurate and uniform (compared to traditional interpersonal communication, where messages can be easily left out, misinterpreted, or poorly communicated).

For our community video approach, partners, local authorities, and staff all credited the project’s success to the fact that community videos were contextualized and used community leaders as stars. Community participation and ownership as a principle of community media helps ensure that the content is appropriate and the behavior or practice being promoted is feasible. The actors chosen to act in the videos were well respected members in their villages. Seeing neighbors and familiar faces delivering messages about nutrition promoted trust in the information being shared, allowing community members to easily accept the messages and recommendations shared. Community members need to hear and see people like themselves, and identify with the environment and characters to believe that they can experience a similar change or impact in their lives. The element of fun, to grab attention, is also key. Community members loved seeing their fellow villagers on screen and explained that videos created “with actors from the big cities or from other countries would not have had the same impact.”

Having built the capacity of project partners to develop and disseminate community videos, they are now poised to expand to additional villages, continue to disseminate existing videos, and produce new content as needed, in collaboration with the video production hubs. In sum, community engagement, capacity building, and contextualization are all key to ensure sustainability.

“One of the things I find the most successful was the community video. It shows that people need to see a behavior practiced to believe it. The videos were so useful that we have committed to continue dissemination them in different communities even after the project ends.” —YAKHANAL Staff Member

Expanding viewership platform to meet generated interest: The use of video sparked far greater interest and curiosity than more traditional SBCC materials, such as counseling cards alone. The combination of video as a novel communication channel, as well as getting community members involved in video production, drew community members to attend screenings. We had not anticipated such
attention and excitement, and only planned to disseminate the videos among the SPRING target audience (PLW and women with CU2). After watching the videos with groups of women, these women insisted that their husbands and other men in the family should view these videos as well, in order to have full family buy-in for a behavior change. To capitalize on this platform, that generated such community interest in the promoted behaviors, some disseminators improvised and screened certain videos to the broader population, but this was done in an ad hoc manner. Future programs considering the use of community video may want to plan for these broader disseminations (at schools, or ‘movie nights’ in the village center) to bring other household members (men, mothers-in-law, and children) into these conversations and create a shared commitment towards adopting new behaviors and practices.

**Scalability of approach:** The increasing use of portable, digital tools provides an opportunity to expand reach and share information in a standardized way while also encouraging feedback, dialogue, and interaction, even in remote communities. However, community media is typically distinguished by its hyper-local content, commonly defined by the geographic location in which it was produced and for whom it was intended. This is a challenge for scale-up. That said, community media produced in one locality could have an impact on viewers from another region if the content can evoke trust and identification with the participants/actors on screen. Role modeling is an important behavior change concept reflected in community media programs, and when individuals see someone of similar means successfully try something new, they feel like they too can adopt the new behavior. This concept is not as effective when the content is not contextualized and/or when the viewer cannot identify with the person who is role modeling, especially when attempting to change behaviors strongly related to cultural and social norms, as are many health and nutrition behaviors.

In considering the scalability of this approach we should remember that videos can be disseminated through a number of formats. We used pico projectors to test this approach at a small scale, given the rapid growth and saturation of the mobile and smart phone markets, even among remote and marginalized populations, the use of mobile phones, or video-sharing websites on social media is pertinent for a more widespread dissemination. While this approach may not promote the same type of discussion as the traditional dissemination model, the communication platform and dialogue channels that social media facilitates between social groups, policymakers, institutions, and individuals can also elevate local voices to reinforce social and behavior change.

**Logistical considerations:** Working through existing local partners and procurement processes (for pico projectors and associated equipment) are key considerations when developing a community video
“Before the trainings we didn’t understand the link between nutrition and agricultural practices. We asked ourselves, how we would be able to solve the problems of nutrition through changing our agricultural practices. Now we understand clearly the gateways to improved nutrition.” —YAKHANAL

Staff Member
Agricultural Partners

Agricultural partners were an important part of SPRING’s work to help facilitate access to key inputs, information, and services for nutrition, specifically the improved production, trade, and consumption of highly nutritious foods that contain vitamin A, zinc, iodine, and iron. Specifically, SPRING sought to make agriculture more nutrition-sensitive by supporting agriculture partners to identify, prioritize, and promote nutrition-sensitive agriculture practices. Using a multi-sectoral approach and the conceptual pathways framework, SPRING identified several key nutrition-sensitive agriculture practices within the context of each partner network (see Box 4). We then promoted those nutrition-sensitive agriculture practices through our SBCC approaches and encouraged our producer networks to adopt them.

SPRING partnered with six local producer networks promoting cereal value chains (millet and maize), horticulture, and poultry farming within our intervention zones. We also formalized our collaboration through memoranda of understanding (MOUs), as SPRING did not provide financial incentives to the partners. These producer networks were our primary point of entry to introduce nutrition-sensitive and nutrition-specific practices. The MOUs laid out how we would collaborate, described the value added to both parties, with SPRING agreeing to provide training and capacity building to partner members, and partner organizations committing time and agricultural inputs. For example, if the partner was willing to provide the human power and initial resources (i.e., land and seeds) to cultivate a new crop, we would provide training on how to grow, store, and market it.

To establish a common framework with our partners, SPRING provided a comprehensive training on nutrition-sensitive agriculture and included modules on the different pathways to link nutrition to agriculture, the seasonal calendar and its effects on nutrition, and different nutrition-sensitive agricultural practices (NSAP) that networks could choose to promote within their communities. Training sessions with farmer networks enabled us to analyze practices in the different stages of value chains, and identify NSAP (and messages) that could be prioritized, based on the context of each network and the relevant value chain. The practices and nutrition-sensitive

---

**Box 4: Nutrition-sensitive agriculture addresses the underlying causes of malnutrition by—**

1) improving food production, availability, nutrient density, quality, and diversity of the food a household consumes;
2) providing agricultural income for food and non-food expenditures, such as health services; and
3) empowering women, which can promote household spending on food and health care as well as save women’s time and increase their capacity to care for themselves and their young children.
messages were then disseminated through community video, radio, field demonstrations, exchange visits, and community mobilization events.

“SPRING allowed us to have a perspective on nutrition and health that we didn’t have before. Before we were only focused on production and now in addition production we want to make sure the crops we cultivate are very nutritious. We wouldn’t have this perspective without SPRING.” —**FEPROMAS Staff Member**

The table below shows SPRING partner networks, their areas of intervention, and the value chains of focus.

### Table 2: Networks, Value Chains, and Zones of Intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network</th>
<th>Value Chain</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fédération des Producteurs de Maïs du Saloum (FEPROMAS)</td>
<td>Obatampa maize, horticulture, poultry farming, OFSP</td>
<td>Nioro du Rip</td>
<td>Kaolack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association Pour la Promotion de la Femme Sénégalaise (APROFES)</td>
<td>Horticulture, poultry farming, OFSP</td>
<td>Kaolack, Foundiougne</td>
<td>Kaolack and Fatick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union des Groupements de Producteurs de Céréales Locales (UGPCL)</td>
<td>Bio-fortified millet, horticulture, poultry farming, OSFP</td>
<td>Kaolack</td>
<td>Kaolack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association pour le Développement de l’Agriculture à Kaolack et Kaffrine (ADAK)</td>
<td>Millet, horticulture, OFSP</td>
<td>Kounghuel</td>
<td>Kaffrine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYMBOIOSE</td>
<td>Horticulture, poultry farming, OFSP</td>
<td>Nioro du Rip</td>
<td>Kaolack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAKHANAL</td>
<td>Maize, horticulture, poultry farming, OFSP</td>
<td>Foundiougne</td>
<td>Fatick</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The [nutrition-sensitive agricultural practices](#) promoted by SPRING aimed to diversify food production, increase agricultural income, and increase women’s empowerment, in alignment with the *Conceptual Pathways between Nutrition and Agriculture* framework.

### Diversifying Food Production for Consumption and Income

To diversify food production for improved dietary diversity and increased income, SPRING sought to strengthen coverage and capacity of input suppliers and service providers to support nutrition-sensitive agricultural production and practices. Orienting our crop selection toward addressing priority health concerns, promoting indigenous foods, encouraging dietary diversity, and generating revenue, as well as
“We began the project with only 2 hectares of Obatampa maize and today we have over 200 hectares. We didn’t know much about orange-fleshed sweet potato (OFSP) at the beginning and we began with only 2 plots (parcels) now we have 47.” —YAKHANAL Staff Member

Building on Yaajeende’s (our partner and another USAID project), success with its nutrition-sensitive interventions, SPRING worked with partner networks to promote more nutritious variants of common crops such as bio-fortified millet (which is rich in iron and zinc), Obatampa maize (protein-rich) and OFSP (which is high in vitamin A).

SPRING established demonstration plots and organized field exchanges to promote NSAP and share best practices with network members on growing, harvesting, storing, and marketing the crops, as well as fruit and vegetables in micro-gardens outside their compounds, or in shared community gardens. We demonstrated how to create a micro-garden featuring peppers, chili-pepper seeds, tomato, squash, carrots, onions, cowpea, cabbage, hibiscus, okra, OFSP vines, banana saplings, moringa, and papaya. In all departments, we installed model micro-gardens (complete with tippy-tap handwashing stations) and trained 240 women and 25 field agents, who in turn trained and supported approximately 2,700 women in installing micro-gardens in 90 villages.

“The cereal processing machines have been beneficial for the community members because they reduce women’s workload and also increase their revenue.” —ADAK Staff Member

SPRING provided technical assistance to its cereal producer partners by teaching post-harvest handling of cereal crops to ensure quality grain and minimize losses through optimal storage techniques. We also introduced, through demonstration sessions, systems of mechanized threshing of cereals using locally developed and inexpensive technology. This threshing system reduces women’s workload by accomplishing in hours what would otherwise constitute a week’s work.

It is important to remember that farmer networks used their own funds to procure all seeds, OFSP vines, and fertilizers used for these demonstration plots, which demonstrated their commitment to the collaboration with SPRING and to promoting good nutrition practices and nutrition-sensitive agriculture. SPRING, in turn, offered animal-drawn rippers for conservation agriculture to the farmer networks promoting the bio-fortified cereals.

Improved village poultry production was also promoted to partner networks to encourage the consumption of protein-rich chickens and eggs.

**Box 5: Agriculture Partnership Accomplishments in Numbers**

- 96 network members trained in agricultural conservation techniques
- 9 exchange visits conducted for a total of 354 participants, including 214 women

*In the project’s last quarter alone:*

- 600 kg of Obatampa maize seed and 150 kg of bio-fortified millet seed distributed
- 5.6 tons of Obatampa maize seeds sold by one agriculture partner network (enough for 280 hectares) to member producers
- Another partner sold 300 kg of biofortified millet seed to the PAFA project
- 366 bags of OFSP—i.e., 183,000 vines—accessed by agricultural partner networks for a planting of 60 demonstration plots of 1,000 m² each.
SPRING trained partner cooperative members how to construct chicken coops, and how best to feed, vaccinate, and breed chickens. We also donated two Holland Blue roosters, selected for their genetic characteristics which allow them to grow fast, large, and produce a lot of eggs, along with twenty local hens, starter feed, and vaccinations to each of the six agricultural partner networks. In turn, the networks pooled resources from members to pay for the construction of the chicken coops and the upkeep of the chickens.

To generate enthusiasm around consuming these new foods, SPRING also developed and disseminated a series of recipe cards featuring OFSP, bio-fortified millet, Obatampa maize, as well as the fruit, vegetables, and poultry promoted. These cards explained the nutritional benefits of each key crop and provided recipes on how to prepare dishes using them. Additionally, we produced community videos that promoted cultivation, processing and storage of these new products and showed different uses of these foods in household meal preparation for improved nutrition.

SPRING also trained partner networks how to sell a portion of the crops and vegetables cultivated as well as some of the eggs hatched from village poultry to generate additional income. With increased access to agricultural inputs, we created a network of community-based service providers (CBSP) by contacting reliable vendors of quality products at the national level, and identifying CBSPs from within the membership of our six producer partners. We trained the CBSPs in key service areas including land preparation, crop spraying, irrigation services, grafting, and livestock vaccination, and facilitated meetings between vendors and CBSPs with the objective of facilitating the sale of inputs (i.e., fertilizers, improved seeds, tools, iodized salts, and enriched flours) and creating a circuit of demand and supply. The system is functioning well, and by the time SPRING ended, vendors had already supplied and resupplied CBSPs.

“Micro-gardens are brilliant because they are simple and they do not require that much work but they allow you to increase your family income and have nutritious foods. [You are] able to watch over your little plot because it is within your compound and you don’t have to worry about it getting accidentally destroyed or eaten by animals.” —YAKHANAL Staff Member

“Now other cooperatives are asking us for seeds and inputs and we are in a position to give them advice. We tell them about what we have learned from SPRING. This is very good for us.” —ADAK Staff Member
What We Learned

SPRING explored how to address the underlying causes of malnutrition through nutrition-sensitive agriculture by training local agriculture agents in tailoring nutrition sensitive agriculture approaches to their local contacts. Across our activities and partnerships, we found that successful cross-sectoral training is key for developing the collaboration that nutrition-sensitive activities require. Understanding how and why to make agriculture practices nutrition-sensitive can be challenging. However, once practitioners understood why they should care about nutrition, and realized the opportunities they held to make a difference within their communities—to improve household diets and the overall well-being of their families—we found that engagement and buy-in was intrinsic, and didn’t require financial incentives to spark motivation to participate in proposed activities. The incorporation of nutrition-sensitive agriculture approaches into the training of agriculture extension agents was embraced by every one of SPRING’s agriculture partners who saw value in considering nutrition in their work.

“A lot of our members did not mind paying out of pocket because they were inspired by SPRING. They looked at all that SPRING had been able to do for the community and they saw that even though SPRING wasn’t paying them salaries or per diems, they were nevertheless heavily investing in the community so we don’t mind sacrificing a little as part of our own contribution.” —YAKHANAL Staff Member

Introducing new techniques and learning by doing: The approach of creating demonstration sites to introduce NSAP and further sharing best practices through exchange visits received a lot of positive feedback from partners and was documented by local radio stations. Demonstration plots—reinforced with exchange visits, radio coverage, and community video—galvanized a lot of enthusiasm, and early adopters of improved NSAP were rapidly identified among the members of partner organizations to further champion promoted initiatives. This is particularly encouraging because SPRING did not pay for the required inputs in the first year. Instead our partner’s commitment was evidenced by their willingness to purchase all required inputs for demonstration plots (seeds, cuttings, fertilizers); while SPRING only provided technical assistance and the transport for these items.

The cascade model used for propagating the use of micro-gardens was also particularly successful. SPRING installed a total of 90 model micro-gardens complete with tippy-tap handwashing stations. In each area covered by one of our partner organizations, we targeted 15 villages, thus reaching 90 villages across all departments within our area of intervention. Each demonstration was attended by about 30 women and at least 4 field agents who committed to replicating the micro-garden demonstrations in 14 other villages. In each of these villages, women received seeds and saplings to install a micro-garden and tippy-tap in their own backyards. By the end of the program, a total of about 2,700 micro-gardens were created from this investment.
“The tippy taps were also brilliant because we had so many cans and bottles lying around that we had no use for but we now use them to install tippy taps and wash our hands at key moments of the day.”

—YAKHANAL Staff Member

We ran into challenges with promoting improved poultry practices. Soon after introducing the ‘improved’ chickens, an outbreak of an epizootic disease occurred, resulting in the deaths of entire coops of chickens. To help protect participants’ chickens from disease in the future, we strengthened the capacities of CBSPs in veterinary services by putting them in contact with a veterinary office. Many beneficiaries who lost chickens remained so committed to trying out the improved poultry rearing approach that they pooled their funds to buy replacement roosters and hens. In one village, Sénala Keur Abdou, the group opted to replace the chickens with turkeys, as they are less prone to disease.

**Increasing Women's Empowerment**

Women play an essential role in family nutrition, and research shows that income controlled by women is more likely to be spent on food and health care for the family (UNICEF 2011; Smith et al. 2003). Pursuing women’s empowerment involves increasing women’s decision-making ability related to household income, as well as freeing up women’s time and energy to focus on family nutrition, child and infant care, and self-care. Since in many cases women’s time is spent on taxing household chores, this is not often possible. With this knowledge, SPRING worked with partner networks to pursue women’s empowerment within our target areas of intervention by 1) increasing their potential income (for food and non-food expenditures), 2) identifying solutions to improve efficiency and reduce their energy expenditure, and 3) improving their knowledge and awareness of how to care for themselves and their families from a nutrition standpoint.

Each of the partner networks we collaborated with included a women’s group. SPRING prioritized partnership with women’s groups to ensure that women were the primary beneficiaries of the agricultural activities we supported. SPRING’s activities with micro-gardens and improved poultry-raising, for example, were all carried out by the female members of our partner networks. SPRING also purchased and donated 12 multi-function cereal processors and distributed two to the women’s groups of each agricultural partner network. The 3-in-1 machines husked, ground, and crushed cereals such as maize and...
In the past we had difficulty getting inputs, especially bio-inputs. Through SPRING we were able to get access to bio-fortified millet. We also learned a lot about OFSP. We had other vegetables but this was new to us. For the cereal processing machines, it has really had an impact in terms of reducing workload and increasing income. So, our partnership with SPRING has really born fruit.” —**APROFES Staff Member**

“One thing I am very proud of is that because of this partnership with SPRING our network was able to produce so much Obatampa maize that we were able to donate 200kg of it to ChildFund so that they could use it to help malnourished children. We’ve done a similar thing with the enriched flour that our women’s groups have been able to produce with the help of the cereal processing machines that SPRING donated. We sell this enriched flour at a very low price to community health agents who use it to help feed malnourished children in the community.” —**YAKHANAL Staff Member**

millet. These tasks are traditionally completed by women who spend hours at a time using a mortar and pestle. Providing the women’s groups with this machinery aimed to reduce women’s post-harvest workload, increasing the time, energy, and money they have to devote to good maternal and child nutrition. In addition to training these women’s groups how to operate, clean, and maintain the machines, SPRING provided training on the business-related aspects of selling processed cereal and renting out the machines to help generate additional income.

As part of SPRING’s SBCC strategy, we piloted the Gender Champion Approach (see Box 6), which aimed to transform sociocultural beliefs around gender roles and increase male-female partnership and distribution of workloads within the household to improve family health and nutrition outcomes. We did this by encouraging women’s participation in household financial and nutrition-related decision making, as well as men’s involvement in care, nutrition and hygiene-related tasks to reduce women’s heavy workloads. The Gender Champion Approach identified men who were already well known in their communities for performing desired gender-equitable practices, and equipped them with knowledge and tools to become advocates for gender equality. These men and their wives became outspoken advocates for gender equality in their communities, speaking to community members about the importance of shared chores, and dispelling cultural taboos about traditional gender roles in society. Champions were publicly recognized during our community mobilization events,
and a video starring several gender champions was disseminated across target villages. The champions spoke to audiences about their behaviors and the positive effects they were experiencing, especially better health, higher income (due to women having more time to pursue income-generating activities), and greater peace in the household. As champion couples became recognized as leaders, they began to influence others to follow their example.

**What We Learned**

> “I particularly liked SPRING’s gender champion approach because it addresses ideas that are often taboo in communities. For instance a man fetching water for his wife is taboo. But these actions were promoted and celebrated in such a participatory way that it was not taboo and now many men assist their wives by fetching water for them.” —YAKHANAL Staff Member

**Gender sensitization:** Empowering women improves nutrition for women, their children, and other household members. The pathway from women’s empowerment to improved nutrition is influenced by many factors, including social norms, knowledge, skills, and how decision-making power is shared within households. In Senegal, we sought to support women’s empowerment by tackling each of these factors in unison to ensure they mutually reinforced one another. It was imperative, for example, to train male and female partners on gender equity, and sensitize communities to challenge social norms on gender roles, prior to favoring women’s networks for interventions (e.g., homestead garden and poultry rearing promotion, or the distribution of the cereal transformation units). Galvanizing support from all parties and avoiding unintended negative consequences, such as jealousy towards beneficiaries, or creating additional work and labor for women without task alleviation elsewhere, was critical.

**Sparking dialogue:** Topics surrounding gender roles and cultural norms are often difficult to discuss and even more difficult to change. The project’s various community media interventions also served as conversation starters between couples, to encourage men to help with child care beyond providing financial support, and to lead couples to adopt the positive behaviors viewed in the videos. Gender issues and deeply entrenched cultural norms could be portrayed in a safe community setting to open a discussion with community members, enabling them to share experiences through stories.

**Celebrating role models:** Our Gender Champion Approach showed how small actions by one individual can influence the actions of others within a group, holding the potential to influence further individuals,
and eventually affecting the entire community. Transforming a community’s perception of an individual or a couple from outliers to champions, whose behavior is worthy of replicating, takes time and requires that they have the appropriate tools to be effective. The combination of 1) training champions to effectively advocate for gender equity and model desired behaviors with 2) public recognition for communities to witness these key influencers being lauded as ‘champions’ was well received and proved to be an effective strategy. Community members were receptive to discussions of gender roles and the possibility of challenging traditional norms, indicating that the time may be ripe to consider scaling the Gender Champion Approach.

SPRING provides the following recommendations for any program considering these activities:

- SPRING did not just consider gender a cross-cutting theme. We made gender a priority and deliberately planned and budgeted for gender-focused activities, capacity building of local organizations and communication around gender to ensure this was given the attention needed.

- SPRING developed a [gender training manual](#) (which was subsequently adapted for use in Ghana and Guinea) that can be re-purposed for any future training. While we provided a one-day training to champions and partner staff, we recommend this be extended to two days, to allow participants more time to reflect on concepts and tools.

- Seizing every opportunity for public recognition was critical, and developing a community video featuring champion couples as stars proved to be a great catalyst for discussion and behavior change. The power of film to turn people into ‘stars’ that others seek to emulate is quite remarkable, as evidenced through the impact of all of the SPRING community videos.

- Leveraging the position of community-based organizations, local NGOs, and farmer/producer networks to promote a Gender Champion Approach, and conducting follow-up visits and refresher trainings to allow champion couples additional contact with program staff and one another to share successes and receive support to overcome identified obstacles, is also critical. Leveraging the capacity of local institutions in this way would improve effectiveness and efficiency, and help ensure the sustainability of the intervention.

### Health Partners

SPRING also sought to partner with stakeholders from the health sector. While we initially planned for [Programme de Santé-Santé Communautaire (PSSC2)](#) and [Renforcements de prestations de services (RPS)](#) to be our primary nutrition/health collaborative partners, these two USAID-funded programs began to prepare for closeout shortly after the launch of SPRING, leaving an awkward transition period before the next generation of health projects was to commence. To keep our objectives moving forward, we identified government health networks (i.e., health workers at local health posts and clinics) that worked with PSSC2’s partners within the health districts in our intervention zones, with the intent to train them on nutrition-related best practices to share with community members.

“I have learned so much from working with SPRING. The SPRING approach of working through partners is very much like the CLM’s approach since we also work through several different partners. I call CLM’s approach “doing by doing” [faire faire], as we do not directly do activities, but we work through implementing agencies.” —CLM Staff Member
However, because of a change to the per diem policy applied by USAID Senegal, certain syndicates of health workers were unable to collaborate with SPRING. Despite consultations with the district and regional medical officers, no solution was identified to address this problem other than to target and work through health actors that were not affiliated with the Ministry of Health. SPRING therefore adjusted plans and leveraged an unexpected yet fruitful relationship with the Cellule de Lutte Contre la Malnutrition (CLM). The CLM is attached to the Prime Minister’s Office and is charged with articulating and coordinating a multi-sectoral strategy to reduce malnutrition in Senegal. One of the CLM’s projects, the Programme de Renforcement de la Nutrition (PRN), was implemented through NGOs referred to as implementing agencies.

Through the relationship with the CLM, SPRING worked with some of the implementing agencies operating within SPRING’s intervention areas, including PLAN, ChildFund, and Association pour le Bien-Etre Familial (ASBEF). Community-based staff from these agencies worked in local health huts and provided health information. SPRING organized workshops on maternal infant and young child nutrition as well as hygiene for staff from these implementing agencies. These staff members also attended nutrition-sensitive agriculture trainings organized for agricultural partners, which allowed health workers to incorporate key nutrition-sensitive messages into their daily work in their communities.

They informed women who visited the health huts about the importance of exclusive breastfeeding and reminded them to attend their pre-natal and post-natal visits. They actively participated in community mobilization activities, such as weekly markets, and local technology fairs to promote key nutrition, hygiene, and gender messages through activities such as ‘quiz-show’ contests, tippy-tap installation demonstrations, live interactive talk shows, and drama performances. They also conducted home visits to give advice on how to construct tippy-taps and encourage community members to keep their compounds hygienic.

### Box 7: Hygiene Monitoring Units (HMUs): A Local Approach to Improving Hygiene

One of the innovative ways SPRING sought to increase awareness of good hygiene practices and influence the behavior of community members regarding personal and household hygiene was by supporting the creation of hygiene monitoring units (HMUs) that regularly monitor hygiene practices within their communities.

These groups, also known as “Dynaset-setal” groups (a Wolof phrase meaning “I commit to a clean environment”) consisted of 6-10 highly engaged and influential community members, often community health workers, village heads, local government unit representatives, and Koranic schools.

SPRING conducted workshops for HMU members, providing instruction on the critical moments of handwashing, the different steps to properly wash hands, and the benefits of using a tippy-tap. The members conducted household visits around their communities to inspect households and assess how many had functional tippy-taps and/or drying racks, track the number of people aware of at least three critical moments of handwashing with soap, and provide support to households that had questions or were not following all guidelines.
What We Learned

Identifying the right partnerships: Establishing partnerships within the health sector proved to be the most challenging for SPRING, largely due to the fact that SPRING did not provide partners with financial assistance and community health workers are used to some form of compensation from implementing agencies and donors. The unexpected partnership with the CLM opened new doors within the health sector, as the organization helped advocate to community health workers on our behalf. Since CLM is well established and linked with the Senegalese government, it is our hope that the project’s most successful approaches and legacy will live on through the CLM and their partners.

A community health volunteer weighs a baby at a local health hut.
**Best Practices, Challenges, and Recommendations**

**Best Practices**

**Multi-sectoral approaches and activities:** People in communities don’t live their lives siloed in health or agriculture sectors but in families and villages, where all the constraints and opportunities of life converge and are intertwined. Acknowledging the range of determinants that affect nutrition, we recognize the need for continued programming and research that focuses on multi-sectoral approaches to improve health outcomes. While multi-sectoral programming can be challenging, our experience has shown that building the capacity of varied partners from different sectors, in order to “connect the dots” and promote optimal nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive behaviors in their programs and the communities they serve, is efficient and effective.

Our community video approach strengthened multi-sectoral programming by encouraging collaboration from partners representing different sectors as well as specialists within the same organization (agronomists, nutritionists and communication specialists). Videos covered topics that naturally intersect in community members’ daily lives (not separated by programming silos), to help viewers easily relate to the content and catalyze behavior changes. We also found that agriculture and health partner staff could share videos about nutrition without having to become nutrition experts themselves. Indeed, community video provides a tool for implementers to develop context-specific and technically accurate content that can be disseminated through various partner-led efforts. Because the community video model can be adapted to a number of platforms and sectors, allowing programs to share nutrition information with or without nutrition experts on staff, it could be integrated readily into partner programs.

**Local partnerships to build on what already exists:** SPRING demonstrated that much can be accomplished in a short timeframe when working with established local partners. We recognized an opportunity to improve nutrition outcomes by leveraging the reach of existing programs which had extensive reach into communities to strengthen the nutrition sensitivity of the information they provided. By working with and through these partners, who were well trusted by their communities, SPRING was able to quickly mobilize and begin implementing in a relatively short time period.

Participants pay close attention as they attend a cooking demonstration utilizing ingredients sourced from nutritious crops such as OFSP to make familiar local meals.
**Capacity building to incentivize partnerships and build sustainability:** Capacity building was central to our partnership model and is especially crucial when implemented in a multi-sectoral context. Working with local partners from different sectors, we sought to enhance existing systems to build agriculture extension workers’ and others’ capacity to share nutrition information. SPRING was able to build the capacity of agriculture, communications, and health partners in the areas of nutrition, WASH, and gender. By training and involving these multi-sectoral partners in implementation, we transferred capacity and increased their knowledge base in these areas, enabling them to further spread nutrition-focused information throughout their communities. This partnership approach helped ensure the sustainability of our work, as these partners are bound to their communities and, unlike projects that come and go, they are embedded within their villages and provide continuous support.

SPRING’s approach, of working with and through local partners who don’t receive financial support from the project, was a gamble—a gamble fully endorsed, indeed mandated, by the Mission from the outset. Time was exceedingly short, and SPRING had to rapidly convince prospective partners of the value of working with us despite the lack of a financial contribution. This was a tall order, and it took considerable effort at the inception of the project to obtain buy-in from partners. Once this was achieved, however, it was refreshing to see how much an individual, organization, project, or network, was prepared to contribute in order to try out a new approach. For every intervention that we supported, we expected beneficiaries to contribute their own time and material resources, while we would provide them with the knowledge and tools to try something new. This was a paradigm shift, and at first there was an expectation that we would and should cover all the costs. However, once it was understood that this was not our operating model, not only was there acceptance, but we found that collaborators were more engaged because they had put their own resources towards the promoted improvements. They were invested in helping themselves, which is how lasting change is fostered.

“SPRING is the only project we work with that explicitly does not pay this institutional support. We understand very well SPRING’s approach and why it does not pay volunteers or partners but it was quite difficult for us because we are used to receiving financial support from all the other different projects and NGO’s that we partner with.” —**YAKHANAL Staff Member**

**Contextualization:** Contextualization is key to spur health and nutrition behavior change, and SPRING made concerted efforts to ensure that all our work was highly contextualized, appropriate, and acceptable to local communities and reflected the environment in which people live. This focus on contextualization grounded our community media outreach, nutrition and WASH trainings, the development of the Gender Champion Approach, and also played out with crop selection and the introduction of new technologies.
Strategic timing, coordination, and reinforcement of interventions: SPRING reached out on both sides of the agriculture–nutrition equation to ensure that interventions were as coordinated as possible. We tailored nutrition-focused trainings and SBCC efforts to crops or animals promoted for production, and synchronized our activities to correspond with the seasonal agricultural calendar as well as other periodic events. Community radio amplified community video content and vice versa. Our various SBCC interventions (radio, community video, and community mobilization) worked in concert to engage and empower communities through multiple levels and channels to affect change. Our overall SBCC approach was designed so that topics promoted on the radio (spots and programs) would be repeated and synchronized with corresponding themes in the community videos and social mobilization activities. As a result, community members were exposed to the same messages, repeatedly, through different channels, which had a positive effect on message reinforcement, retention, and ultimately the uptake of the promoted behavior or practices.

Challenges and Recommendations

Despite the successes described above, SPRING face a number of challenges in Senegal that are worth discussing for future programs.

Forging partnerships and collaborations with local farmer and health network organizations was the critical element in the success and sustainability of SPRING’s strategy across all components, but this also brought challenges. Our implementation period was short, and as such, we needed to quickly formalize partnerships. While we successfully created collaborative relationships, signed MOUs, and began joint activities, those processes took time, which created challenges for a project with less than two years to implement. Many of our partners are well-established in Senegal and did not have the same sense of urgency that we had to define and formalize a working relationship and quickly start the activities.

SPRING could have avoided certain challenges, or capitalized more on potential opportunities, if we had the time upon project inception to lay the groundwork in a more thoughtful manner. Although SPRING successfully cultivated relationships with each of its partners, we did not cultivate cross-partner collaboration and coordination, which could have led to interesting synergies. We largely operated bilaterally with each partner organization, providing individualized support to each partner organization rather than bringing partners together to identify areas for strategic coordination, and capitalizing on common goals and opportunities.

“In total our partnership was very short. We’ve only worked together for about 8-9 months of implementation and even though I know this is a project, I feel our collaboration should have gone on for longer in order to properly multiply the effects we have seen in the communities.” —CLM Staff Member
Onboarding partners to our unique collaboration approach, where our investments were only in-kind, rather than direct funding, was another important challenge (see Box 8). This was a drastic departure from what local organizations had come to expect from a development partner. Whether this approach would work was in fact one of the questions USAID sought to examine through the Mission’s buy-in to SPRING. While we can conclude with confidence that it is possible to galvanize the engagement and commitment of partners without direct payments, key measures are important for this to be successful:

- Ensure project staff understand the approach and can comfortably articulate this to prospective partners. This requires adequate onboarding from the project designers and leadership team during start-up. While it may seem obvious, we found that SPRING staff were confused about this approach in the first months. The notion that we were not directly implementing the work, and that all our activities were geared towards working with and through partners and building their capacity, was a paradigm shift for many, and one which took multiple conversations to be understood and embraced.

“...In the beginning the misunderstanding was very high. After a bit of time partners didn’t hesitate to say ‘yes’ this partnership approach works’ to others. Even though it might have been in their interests to not be so vocal about the success and benefits of SPRING’s partnership approach in order to continue to get more resources from different organizations or projects that didn’t follow SPRING’s approach.” —SPRING Regional Coordinator

- Clearly convey up front to prospective partners that this approach will not include the provision of financial incentives, but that we are looking to build their capacity for more sustainable change. Explain our objectives, what our value-add is, and what we can offer the partner to reduce the likelihood of misunderstandings and disappointment.

- Roles and responsibilities of both parties in the relationship must be clearly defined. This can be challenging at program inception, for activities may not be fully determined, and there are often unanticipated opportunities that arise during the course of the program, but clear expectations at

“One issue linked to SPRING’s approach is how it does not provide financial support to this network while other partners and projects do. Our field agents work on monitoring several projects and if they are forced to prioritize which one they will focus more of their attention on, to meet a deadline for example, they choose to focus on the project that is paying the most to this network in terms of institutional support and symbolic payment of field agents. Additionally, without sufficient funds for travel, the field agents are less motivated to conduct in person evaluation of the farms for SPRING. This is just the reality of the situation.” —YAKHANAL Staff Member

“I have to admit that the fact that there was no financial support received from SPRING made things quite difficult mainly regarding monitoring of SPRING’s activities. If we don’t have resources for SPRING specific activities there are difficulties. Our technicians who monitor these activities are normally covered by funds from the project they are monitoring. This is more than just a motivation but it has a practical function. It costs money for them to go to the field and do their activities. It is difficult to expect them to do a thing they are not compensated for. There needed to be a minimum institutional support from SPRING towards us to ensure that we would be able to properly track SPRING specific activities because monitoring requires resources.” —APROFES Staff Member

“The process of reporting didn’t work well. The difficulty was due to the fact that our members did not have the resources to pay for the transport needed to go to collect data in the field.” —ADAK Staff Member
the onset, coupled with frequent communication throughout implementation, will help avoid misunderstandings.

- Iron out the monitoring and evaluation processes and expectations with partners at the onset too.

For example, it was not clear to some partners that follow-up visits were needed for certain activities (such as household visits after video dissemination events), which hampered planning for adequate resources. Facilitating data collection processes within a consortium of partners with existing monitoring and evaluation systems also presented challenges and necessitated flexibility and adaptation to different partners’ existing processes. Lastly, since SPRING didn’t have any contractual agreement tied to financial remuneration of its partners, SPRING reporting needs were not prioritized if they competed with another project’s requirements.

- Support offered must be driven by partner needs. Our role is to strengthen their programs and activities, not disrupt them, and we need to creatively identify how to provide tailored capacity building support while simultaneously meeting our objectives.

- Ensure that partners receive ample recognition for the work they put into this partnership. Recognize that program achievements are partner achievements and their success must be lauded to encourage continued progress towards promoted changes.

“SPRING increased our visibility in our field of work and this allowed us to increase our membership. Our organization had only 200 members before our partnership with SPRING and we now have over 500. This is all because of the pertinence of SPRING’s approach.” —ADAK Staff Member

“Before, our organization was not well known, but today because of this project we are known in the entire region. As president, this has improved my profile and reinforced the respect I receive from the community.” —ADAK Staff Member

“We noticed that we were able to bring out a lot of leadership in our partners. Beneficiaries of our activities have become leaders that are articulate and influential in their community.” —SPRING Regional Coordinator
Conclusion

The SPRING program in Senegal sought to test an approach of working with and through local partners, through the provision of capacity building and in-kind support, to introduce nutrition-focused actions to improve diets and the overall well-being of families. At the close of 18 months of implementation, having successfully collaborated with 12 partners within our ZOI, we can conclude that this approach can be highly successful. With careful negotiation of mutually respectful and beneficial processes, with shared favorable outcomes, such partnerships can bear tremendous fruit. Through our community radio work, we reached approximately 918,310 people with messages about nutrition, WASH and gender. Through our

“Our partnership with SPRING will leave us with many memories. One of the things I liked best was that the content of the radio programs and spots was very well adapted to respond to the specific needs of the population. Every message was contextualized to the realities on the ground. The process of creating the content was participatory and inclusive, and all of the recommendations from the radio stations were taken into account which I think contributed to the success.” —Radio Partner

“A partnership can be described as successful if it is a win-win situation for each party. Each party has to get something out of it. This ‘something’ doesn’t necessarily have to be financial, it can also be technical knowledge like has been our case with SPRING. We have gained a lot of technical knowledge so I would say our partnership with SPRING has been successful.” —FEPROMAS Staff Member

“This has been a successful partnership because we couldn’t have achieved the activities we did in such a short period without SPRING. At the end of the day, SPRING’s results are our results.” —CLM Staff Member

“The project was very short but it allowed us to have a vision for the future. With this short project we can evaluate and see the things we would like to continue to do perhaps in a future project.” —Radio Partner

“We are working hard to keep the seeds and inputs for the various crops so that we will be able to redistribute them amongst our members and plant them again in the future. We have learned so much during this project that we won’t need to reinvent the wheel. We will consolidate what we have learned and the experience we have gained with SPRING.” —APROFES Staff Member

A women’s cooperative affiliated with FEPROMAS in Taiba Niassene celebrates the introduction of OFSP and Obatampa maize in their gardens.
community video work, we produced 10 videos that were disseminated to 104 villages within our three regions, helping to improve knowledge and uptake of key nutrition, WASH and gender behaviors. Our collaboration with agricultural partners, supported the increased consumption of nutrient-rich foods including vegetables, OFSP, bio-fortified millet and maize, as well as animal-sourced foods such as poultry.

Partners were motivated to collaborate because they saw the benefits SPRING’s capacity building would yield. What they learned, and the practices they adopted, will likely be sustained long after SPRING since these were not motivated by the provision of incentives. The next step for Senegal would be to replicate this approach in new regions of the country, building off these lessons. As the national coordinator for CLM concluded in the remarks he gave during SPRING/Senegal’s closeout legacy event: “What we have seen through SPRING is that the participatory approach is a success. Activities yielded results rapidly, but it’s not over. We would like to see a ‘start up’ event, to scale up this approach, which capitalized on collaborating with and through local partners, nationwide.”

“Before the close-out event we made a request that all of the radio stations that worked with SPRING be put in contact with each other to become a sort of network to combat malnutrition in our respective areas. It would be good to have this so that we radio stations could support and inspire one another in the aftermath of the project.” —Radio Partner

“I would consider the trainings that our members attended as the biggest success of the project. Our members learned how to prevent malnutrition through improved agricultural practices and how to improve health through simple hygienic measures.” —ADAK Staff Member

“What we achieved in results was very significant. Personally, I benefited and other staff as well in terms of professional development from working with SPRING. I learned some valuable lessons about management and facilitation of teams without monetary incentive.” —CLM Staff Member

“We are committed to helping out our communities because we live in these communities. During one of our last meetings we made the decision to continue to broadcast these spots. Maybe we won’t be broadcasting them 10 times a day like we used to, but we can easily re-use these spots on days like International Women’s Day and other occasions to raise awareness about nutrition hygiene and health in general.” —Radio Partner Staff Member
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## Annex 1: Indicator Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Achievements by Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FY16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of existing or adapted materials reproduced for SPRING training and disseminated to priority audiences</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Number of spots/programs with nutrition or nutrition-sensitive agriculture messages broadcast on community radio stations</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Approximate number of people reached through SPRING-supported community radio programming</td>
<td>536,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Number of SPRING-produced community videos</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of existing community events used to feature SPRING community and/or media activities</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Number of children under 5 reached by U.S. Government-supported nutrition programs</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Number of children under age 2 reached with community-level nutrition interventions through U.S. Government-supported programs</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Number of pregnant women reached with nutrition interventions through U.S.-supported programs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Number of rural households benefiting directly for U.S. Government-supported interventions</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Percentage of households in ZOI reached directly and indirectly through SPRING interventions</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Percentage of women of reproductive age in a defined small area within SPRING’s ZOI practicing appropriate key behavior(s) promoted through SPRING activities</td>
<td>Baseline completed in November 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Number of individuals receiving nutrition-related professional training through U.S. Government-supported programs</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of individuals who have received U.S. Government-supported short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Number of for-profit private enterprises, producers’ organizations, water users’ associations, women’s groups, trade and business associations, and community-based organizations receiving U.S. Government food security-related organizations development assistance</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of demonstration activities supported by SPRING to increase access to inputs and services for ENA/EHA and nutrition-sensitive agriculture products and knowledge</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex 2: Success Stories

Growing Food for a Growing Family in Senegal
How multi-sectoral nutrition benefits the whole household
by SPRING/Senegal

family Nutrition Rooted in the Garden

In the Thiaré Department of Senegal’s Kaolack region, eating a healthy, varied diet can be expensive. As Thiane Dramé’s family grew, so did the challenges to providing the food and health care they needed. “I just knew that because life is so expensive and our economic situation in this area is hard, that I would have to do something to make our life better,” said Thiane. To tackle the problem, she decided that she would have to learn to cultivate her own fruits and vegetables.

Soon after buying and planting her first seeds, Thiane’s farmer co-op referred her to the Strengthening Partnerships, Results, and Innovations in Nutrition Globally (SPRING) project. The USAID-funded SPRING project takes a multi-sectoral approach to improving maternal and child nutrition in three regions in Senegal by supporting nutrition-sensitive agriculture, dietary diversity and feeding practices, good hygiene, and balanced gender roles in households.

Family Nutrition Rooted in the Garden

In the Thiaré Department of Senegal’s Kaolack region, eating a healthy, varied diet can be expensive. As Thiane Dramé’s family grew, so did the challenges to providing the food and health care they needed. “I just knew that because life is so expensive and our economic situation in this area is hard, that I would have to do something to make our life better,” said Thiane. To tackle the problem, she decided that she would have to learn to cultivate her own fruits and vegetables.

Soon after buying and planting her first seeds, Thiane’s farmer co-op referred her to the Strengthening Partnerships, Results, and Innovations in Nutrition Globally (SPRING) project. The USAID-funded SPRING project takes a multi-sectoral approach to improving maternal and child nutrition in three regions in Senegal by supporting nutrition-sensitive agriculture, dietary diversity and feeding practices, good hygiene, and balanced gender roles in households.

I was very lucky to find out about SPRING just days after starting my garden. The SPRING coordinators are always there to help and have changed everything for us.

-- Thiane Dramé, grandmother and community nutrition champion

Thiane Dramé waters the garden SPRING helped her to diversify.
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Potato, which is rich in vitamin A. “My youngest grandchild was always sick,” said Thiane. “As soon as I learned that orange-fleshed sweet potato is a nutritious crop for preventing anemia in pregnant women, improving mothers’ breastmilk, and ensuring children grow up healthy, I didn’t hesitate [to plant it].”
**Nutrition beyond Food**

As a grandmother, Thiane enlisted the support of the entire family in tending to her garden. She explained, "My oldest son helps me. The teenagers have taken over pumping water for the plants. My husband gave me a half hectare to plant biofortified millet and he makes sure everyone helps me...so it doesn’t take very much time." Thiane knew that a diverse diet was important to her family’s nutrition, but after learning from SPRING how important a clean household and good hygiene are to keeping everyone healthy, Thiane also installed three tippy-taps. "Now I always wash my hands with soap and water. So does my family. And my neighbors also built [tippy-taps] to use," said Thiane.

**The Cup Overflows**

Thanks to the changes Thiane and her family have made, she has reaped benefits she never expected. "My youngest grandson doesn’t fall sick as much now, so we don’t have medical bills like before," she said. Combined with income from the shared chicken coop SPRING helped her women’s group install, the earnings from the garden also allow the family to regularly purchase a variety of fruits and vegetables, biofortified millet and corn, veal, eggs, and fish.

Not only does Thiane share her harvest with her neighbors, but she and her family are sharing what they’ve learned about nutrition so that neighbors can start their own gardens and build more tippy-taps. "In my community, all the women have started their own gardens and even beyond them, I invite other women to do the same," she said. "[SPRING] coordinators are always there to help and they have changed everything for us. I hope they will continue to support us because these things are the key to good nutrition and the health of the entire family."

Since 2016, SPRING/Senegal has worked through a multi-sectoral network of partners, using trainings, community video and radio, and community events to encourage use of high-impact behaviors to improve nutrition among pregnant and lactating women and children under two.
Hygiene Champions Improve Health in Senegal, One Village at a Time

by SPRING/Senegal

In the past, everyone would wash their hands in a basin together. We didn’t know that this could spread germs. We have installed a tippy tap here and now everyone washes their hands one-by-one.

-- Mariama Niang, community hygiene champion

The Impacts of Diarrhea

Mariama Niang lives with her six children in the village of Touba Mourid in Senegal. Children in her community frequently suffer from diarrhea, due in part to a lack of information about the importance of handwashing to prevent the spread of the pathogens that cause diarrhea. According to Mariama, “Children were always going to the health hut (a local health clinic) because they fell sick so easily. There were too many cases of diarrhea to count.”

Diarrhea is a serious public health problem, killing more children than HIV/AIDS, malaria, and measles combined. And when diarrhea linked to poor hygiene practices doesn’t kill, it leads to infections that result in gut inflammation which, in turn, prevents nutrient absorption. Repeated episodes of such inflammation contribute to stunting, an indicator of malnutrition that has lifelong physical and cognitive consequences.

Becoming a Hygiene Champion

To reduce poor hygiene in her community, Mariama attended a training organized by USAID’s multi-sectoral nutrition project—Strengthening Partnerships, Results, and Innovations in Nutrition Globally (SPRING)—that taught her to be a local champion for improved hygiene. SPRING trained Mariama and more than 250 other community members from 3 of Senegal’s 14 regions to establish and lead hygiene monitoring units. These units consist of 6-10 highly engaged and influential community members—often community health workers, village chiefs, local government representatives, and school teachers—who serve as champions for improved hygiene practices within their communities.
SPRING conducted workshops that build the capacity of unit members to help communities identify the critical moments for handwashing, adopt proper handwashing techniques, and master the skills needed to construct tippy-taps, simple handwashing stations that can be built and maintained with local materials.

Benefits in the Home and Community

Following her training, Mariama installed a tippy-tap at the entrance of her own compound. This small act had a big effect on her family’s well-being. “We are a big household and we have lots of guests. In the past, everyone would wash their hands in a basin together,” she said. “We didn’t know that this could spread germs. We have installed a tippy tap here and now everyone washes their hands one-by-one.”

Through her training, she learned about this and other small doable actions to improve hygiene. “Before, the children didn’t like to wash their hands after playing,” she said. “They would just wipe their hands on their clothes and this was very frustrating for me as their mother because this made their clothes very dirty. Today, now that there is a tippy tap here, the children enjoy washing their hands. They see it as a fun game and now I no longer need to force them to wash their hands. Their clothes are cleaner too!”

As a hygiene champion, Mariama regularly tours her small village, inspecting households and reminding her neighbors of various hygiene best practices such as the critical moments of handwashing, the importance of cleaning and covering latrines, and the need to eliminate standing water around the family compound. She also helps her neighbors install tippy taps, inspects them to ensure they are functional, and ensures families know how to correctly use and maintain them. She reports that since the community embraced an increased focus on hygiene, the health hut sees few, if any, cases of diarrhea.

In 2017, SPRING promoted essential hygiene actions in more than 6,700 rural households and reached 876 children under two with village talks on nutrition and hygiene through the monitoring units. In addition, SPRING screened and discussed community-led videos to help villagers understand the basics of home hygiene in more than 100 villages. SPRING has also reached more than 900,000 people through community radio with messages on the importance of handwashing, critical moments for handwashing, and the role and importance of hygiene monitoring units.
## Annex 3: Presentations at International Conferences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Conference Title and Location</th>
<th>Name of Presentation</th>
<th>Name of Presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 1-3, 2017</td>
<td>Sweet potato For Profit And Health Initiative (SPHI) Conference, Kisumu Kenya</td>
<td>Community Videos Promote Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato (OFSP) for Improved Nutrition in Senegal</td>
<td>Tom van Mourik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2017</td>
<td>GLEE in Senegal</td>
<td>Attended</td>
<td>Bob de Wolfe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex 4: Materials and Tools Developed or Adapted by the Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Type of publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>Nutrition for Pregnant Women</td>
<td>Poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>Infant and Young Child Nutrition (0-6 months)</td>
<td>Poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>Infant and Young Child Nutrition (6-9 months)</td>
<td>Poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>Infant and Young Child Nutrition (9-12 months)</td>
<td>Poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>Infant and Young Child Nutrition (12-24 months)</td>
<td>Poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>Relieving Women’s Heavy Workload</td>
<td>Poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>How to Construct a Tippy-Tap</td>
<td>Poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>How to Purify and Conserve Water</td>
<td>Poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>How to Wash Your Hands</td>
<td>Poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>Critical Moments for Handwashing</td>
<td>Poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>Complementary feeding</td>
<td>Community video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>Hygiene in the household</td>
<td>Community video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY16</td>
<td>Critical moments for handwashing</td>
<td>Community video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Exclusive breastfeeding</td>
<td>Community video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The advantages of orange fleshed sweet potato</td>
<td>Community video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The diversification of agricultural production</td>
<td>Community video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Improved village poultry</td>
<td>Community video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Gender champions</td>
<td>Community video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The quality sorting of cereals</td>
<td>Community video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The drying and preservation of fruits and vegetables</td>
<td>Community video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The importance of handwashing</td>
<td>Radio Spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Type of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Relieving the heavy workload of women</td>
<td>Radio Spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Critical moments for handwashing</td>
<td>Radio Spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Importance of a diet rich in micro-nutrients</td>
<td>Radio Spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Benefits of Orange Fleshed Sweet Potato</td>
<td>Radio Spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The importance of a child’s first 1,000 days</td>
<td>Radio Spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Orange-fleshed sweet potato’s nutritional value and its importance</td>
<td>Radio Spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The practice of improved village poultry and its benefits</td>
<td>Radio Spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The importance of the exclusive breastfeeding of babies aged 0–6 months</td>
<td>Radio Spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The hygiene and maintenance of latrines, June 5–30</td>
<td>Radio Spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Importance of eating food rich in micronutrients</td>
<td>Radio Spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Importance of exclusive breastfeeding and barriers related to the practice</td>
<td>Radio Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The promotion of community-based service providers (CBSP)</td>
<td>Radio Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The adverse effects of malaria in the first 1,000 days, the importance of bed nets, household hygiene; and good nutrition (for World Malaria Day)</td>
<td>Radio Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The importance of good nutrition for pregnant women; tackling taboos</td>
<td>Radio Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>A program for World Day of Hand Hygiene</td>
<td>Radio Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The benefits of improved village poultry</td>
<td>Radio Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Rural women’s access to quality health care (for the Global Day of Action for Women’s Health)</td>
<td>Radio Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Health, Hygiene and Water Sanitation</td>
<td>Radio Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The importance of psychomotor development in children under age five (for World Health Day)</td>
<td>Radio Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The multi-sectoral and public-private partnership in the implementation of the National Development Nutrition Policy, with</td>
<td>Radio Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Type of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>audio from the International Fair for Agricultural and Animal Resources (FIARA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The importance of grain processing in the fight against malnutrition</td>
<td>Radio Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Gender and Nutrition Training Manual</td>
<td>Training Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Maternal Infant and Young Child Nutrition Training Manual</td>
<td>Training Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Nutrition-Sensitive Agriculture Training Manual</td>
<td>Training Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>DynaSet Setal (Hygiene) Training Manual</td>
<td>Training Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Steps in the Production of Enriched Flour</td>
<td>Pamphlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>How to make meals using bio-fortified cereals</td>
<td>Recipe Card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>How to make meals using Obatampa maize</td>
<td>Recipe Card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>How to make meals using Orange Fleshed Sweet Potato</td>
<td>Recipe Card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>How to make meals using bio-fortified millet</td>
<td>Recipe Card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>The Impact of Radio on Nutrition-Related Knowledge Behaviors in Senegal</td>
<td>Brief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>Couples as Champions for Gender Equality</td>
<td>Brief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>How Partnerships Are Improving Nutrition in Senegal</td>
<td>Promotional Video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY17</td>
<td>SPRING/Senegal Improving Nutrition Through Partnership</td>
<td>Infographic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>